

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/600,911

Applicant(s)
Kanellos et al.

Examiner
Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) Leonard Mitchard

(2) Karen Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 30, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5, 10, 12, and 14

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed Mr. Mitchard that the application has allowable subject matter however modifications need to be made the above claims to get the application in condition for allowance. With regard to claim 1 correction is needed of the phrase "with to form" (see also page 3 of the specification which has this language). Claim 5 is missing the transitional phrase "a" before the word concentration. Claims 10 and 12 recite "and/or" which raises issues under 112, second paragraph. Mr. Mitchard will contact the applicant regarding reciting only one term in the claims, either "and" or "or". Or if applicant wants to add claims using each term individually. Informed Mr. Mitchard that claim 14 previously restricted will be rejoined to the elected group, however, the claim lacks antecedent basis and would need to be rewritten in indenpent form with all the pertinent information from claims 1 and 2. The attorney will response with a preliminary amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.